Minutes
Zoning Administrator Meeting
May 17, 2012

Staff Present
Charley Stump, Zoning Administrator
Jennifer Faso, Associate Planner
Michelle Johnson, Planning Intern
Cathy Elawadly, Recording Secretary

Others Present
Kate Magruder
Linda Malone
Judy Pruden
Matt Bogner
Robert Axt
Josh Blakeley
Richard Ruff

1. CALL TO ORDER
Zoning Administrator Stump called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. in Conference Room No. 1, Ukiah Civic Center, 300 Seminary Avenue, Ukiah, California.

2. SITE VISIT VERIFICATION
Zoning Administrator Stump confirmed the site visits.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: March 22, 2012
Zoning Administrator Stump approved the March 22, 2012 meeting minutes as submitted.

4. APPEAL PROCESS
Zoning Administrator Stump read the appeal process. For matters heard at this meeting the last day to appeal is May 29, 2012.

5. VERIFICATION OF NOTICE
Planning Intern Johnson verified Minor Site Development Permit 12-05-SDP-ZA and Minor Site Development Permit 12-03-SDP-ZA were properly noticed in accordance with the provisions of the UMC.

Associate Planner Faso verified Minor Site Development Permit 12-04-SDP-ZA was properly noticed in accordance with the provisions of the UMC.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS
6A. Minor Site Development Permit 12-05-SPD-ZA, APN 002-231-09. Request for approval of a Minor Site Development Permit to install internally illuminated-letters reading "WORLD GYM" to an existing commercial structure located at 203 South Main Street. The Sign Ordinance requires a Site Development Permit for signs that face a parking lot rather than the street frontage.

Planning Intern Johnson gave a staff report:
• The proposed sign would face the parking lot located at the rear of the building.
• The City Sign Ordinance requires a site development permit for signs that face a parking lot rather than the street frontage.
• The proposed project is consistent with the Ukiah General Plan Goal/Policies, applicable Zoning Ordinance requirements, the Sign Ordinance and the required site development permit findings as provided for in staff's analysis on pages 2 through 4 of the staff report.
• The project conditions of approval indicate a building permit and electrical permit are required.
• A sign permit from the Planning and Community Development Department is also required prior to installation of the approved sign.
The proposed new sign has the ability to be internally illuminated but the applicant has indicated there are no plans to use lighting for the sign at this time.
The staff report was written with the intent that the lettering for the sign would be lit.
Staff is recommending approval.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED: 10:08 a.m.

Matt Bogner, Applicant:
- Has reviewed the staff report and had no questions/concerns regarding staff’s analysis.
- Acknowledged the sign has already been installed prior to approval of the minor site development permit in the rear of the building facing the parking lot.
- Was not aware that a site development permit was required for a sign on the rear of a building.

Zoning Administrator Stump:
- Requiring a site development permit for a sign at the rear of a building is a rather ‘obscure provision of the UMC.’
- If the project is approved, requested the applicant submit information concerning the engineering/design and materials used for the sign at the time when the applicant applies for the building permit.

Matt Bogner:
- While lighting for the sign is a possibility, he has no intention of providing this feature at this time.
- The back portion of the building is really the main entrance.
- In the future, he intends to apply for an application to expand the building where the front parking lot is located that will be included as part of the gym.
- All members of the establishment enter the building from the rear.
- The rear of the building has a lot of parking spaces.
- A nice front façade that faces Main Street is planned for the future.

Linda Malone:
- Is a property owner with rental units adjacent to the World Gym parking lot.
- A lighted sign would impinge on these rental units.
- Has no objection to just using the plain letters for ‘World Gym,’ but requests the illuminated letters for the sign not be permitted to be lit at any point in the future because it would disturb people’s sleep.
- People cannot access the parking lot unless they are going to the World Gym so they should know they are going there by time they are in the parking lot.
- Supports approval of the project with the exception of allowing for illuminated letters for the sign.

Judy Pruden:
- A compromise may be to set the hours the sign can be lit such as requiring the sign be turned off by 10:00 p.m.

Matt Bogner:
- Has no intention of lighting the sign at this point and noted, however, there is a street light in the same area that likely provides much more light than the sign ever would.

Judy Pruden:
- Added street lights can be both shielded and the bulb changed out if people complain that the brightness is disturbing their sleep.
• The project could be conditioned for hours of illumination in the future because the project goes with the property in perpetuity.

Zoning Administrator Stump:
• Acknowledged the aforementioned is one potential solution and noted there may be others in this regard.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED: 10:12 a.m.

Zoning Administrator Stump:
• Has visited the site.
• Alternatives to the sign lighting issue are to regulate the hours the sign would be lit or, not to allow any lighting of the sign without coming back through this discretionary review process and notifying the neighbors.
• Noted a correction to the language contained in Table 3, Summary of Project Consistency with the Required Site Development Permit Findings on page 4 of the staff report that should read, ‘There are no natural features on the site that would be damaged or destroyed.’
• The proposed sign nicely defines the entranceway and is in character with the scale of the building.
• Was initially concerned about illumination and noted in his site visit the sign would not be visible from the public right-of-way but would be from surrounding properties.
• Agrees with staff’s analysis in that the sign complies with goals/policies of the General Plan and Sign ordinance regulations.
• Commended staff for finding this provision in the code that such a sign required a site development permit and appropriately advising the applicant thereof.
• Agrees with staff’s findings and conditions of approval.
• Added a condition of approval that acknowledges the applicant has indicated the sign is not proposed for illumination to read, ‘If at any time in the future the applicant chooses to illuminate the sign, he shall apply for a minor site development permit to do so which shall be noticed accordingly to surrounding property owners for a Zoning Administrator meeting.’ In this way, if the plans change the neighbors will be alerted with a review process and that if there are impacts identified they can be successfully mitigated and/or illumination would not possible.

Zoning Administrator Stump approved Minor Site Development Permit 12-05-SDP-ZA with Findings 1-5 and Conditions of Approval 1-10 and with the additional condition referenced above.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS TO ALLOW A SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT TO INSTALL INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED-LETTERS READING “WORLD GYM” AT 203 SOUTH MAIN STREET APN 002-231-09.
FILE NUMBER: 12-05-SDP-ZA

The following findings are supported by and based on information contained in this staff report, the application materials, and the public record.

1. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the City of Ukiah General Plan as described in Table 1 of the staff report.

2. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the purpose and applicable requirements of the Sign Ordinance as described in Table 2 of the staff report.

3. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the purpose and applicable requirements Site Development Permits as described in Table 3 of the staff report.
4. The proposed project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15311, Class 11 (a), Accessory Structures which exempts on-premise signs; Section 15301, Class 1(a) Existing Structures, which allows exterior alterations to existing buildings based on the following:

   A. The project involves modifications to the exterior of the existing building that will not enlarge the footprint of the building.

   B. The project is not located within an environmentally sensitive area in that the site is located on an arterial street and in an urban area that includes a variety of commercial businesses. The site is developed with a building that has historically been used for retail sales and associated parking areas and landscaping. No water courses, wildlife, wildlife habitat, floodway or flood plain or other environmentally sensitive areas are present.

   C. Individual-lettered identification wall sign reading “WORLD GYM” The sign would be a total of 88.5 square feet. The proposed on-premise sign would be located at the east parking lot attached to the rear of the building.

5. A notice of public meeting for the proposed project was provided in the following manner as required by the Ukiah Municipal Code:

   - posted in three places on the project site on May 3, 2012;
   - mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on May 3, 2012; and

   SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL TO ALLOW A SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT TO INSTALL INTERNALLY ILLUMINATED-LETTERS READING “WORLD GYM” AT 203 SOUTH MAIN STREET, APN 002-231-09 FILE NUMBER: 12-05-SDP-ZA

1. Site Development Permit approval is granted for the installation of a parking lot facing sign as shown on the plans and project description submitted to the Planning Department and date stamped March 20, 2012 and in the email dated May 1, 2012, except as modified by the following conditions of approval.

2. Application for an approval of a sign permit from the Planning and Community Development Department is required prior to installation of the approved sign.

3. Construction hours are limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday.

   From the Zoning Administrator

4. If at any time in the future the applicant chooses to illuminate the sign, he shall apply for a minor site development permit to do so which shall be noticed accordingly to surrounding property owners for a Zoning Administrator meeting.

   From the Building Division David Willoughby

5. A building permit and electrical permit are required.

   Standard Conditions of Approval

6. The property owner shall obtain and maintain any permit or approval required by law, regulation, specification or ordinance of the City of Ukiah and other Local, State, or
Federal agencies as applicable. All construction shall comply with all fire, building, 
electric, plumbing, occupancy, and structural laws, regulations, and ordinances in effect 
at the time the Building Permit is approved and issued.

7. All conditions of approval that do not contain specific completion periods shall be 
completed prior to building permit final.

8. Building, Sign, Grading or other required Permits shall be issued within two years after 
the effective date of the Site Development Permit, or the discretionary actions granted by 
the permit shall expire. In the event the required Permits cannot be issued within the 
stipulated period from the project approval date, a one year extension may be granted by 
the Director of Planning if no new circumstances affect the project which otherwise would 
render the original approval inappropriate or illegal. It is the applicant’s responsibility in 
such cases to propose the one-year extension to the Planning Department prior to the 
two-year expiration date.

9. Except as otherwise specifically noted, the Site Development Permit Amendment shall be 
granted only for the specific purposes stated in the action approving the Site 
Development Permit and shall not be construed as eliminating or modifying any building, 
use, or zone requirements except to such specific purposes.

10. The approved Site Development Permit Amendment may be revoked through the City’s 
revocation process if the approved project related to the Site Development Permit is not 
being conducted in compliance with the stipulations and conditions of approval; or if the 
project is not established within two years of the effective date of approval; or if the 
established land use for which the permit was granted has ceased or has been 
suspended for twenty four (24) consecutive months.

11. All conditions of approval from Site Development Permit # 12-05 remain in full force and 
effect except as modified by these conditions.

6B. Minor Site Development Permit 12-05-SDP-ZA. APN 001-020-80. Request for approval 
of a Minor Site Development Permit to install one 8 foot x 20 foot and one 8 foot by 40 
foot cargo container and construct a 9 foot tall, 256 square foot canopy at the rear of 
Ukiah Players Theatre located at 1041 Low Gap Road. The two cargo containers would 
hold material used for set construction. The canopy would be constructed of 4x4 wooden 
posts and white metal roofing and used as protection from weather during set 
construction.

Planning Intern Johnson:
- The project would be the creation of a work yard and provide storage for set construction 
to allow the installation of one 8’ x 20’ and one 8’ x 40’ cargo container, the construction 
of a 9’ tall, 256 square foot canopy constructed of 4 x 4 wooden posts having a white 
metal roof and a cyclone fence to be installed on the south and west sides.
- The project is consistent with the General Plan goals/policies, applicable zoning 
regulations and site development permit findings.
- Protected fencing/devises are required to protect the trees and root zones of trees 
located near or within the construction zones. Protective fencing and warning signage will 
be required around the root zone and all protection devices shall be visible and well-
anchored.
- There shall be no storage containers in the dripline of the Oak Trees.
- A building permit is required for the installation of the cargo containers and construction 
of the canopy.
• The City Fire Marshal requires an exit pathway from the exit through the public way, outside of the newly created work areas and this pathway shall be shown on the building permit plans. Also, an emergency egress (panic) hardware shall be installed on all fence gates which lead to the public area.

• Staff recommends approval of the project.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED: 10:21 a.m.

Robert Axt, Project Architect:
• Has reviewed the staff report.
• Has added the exit pathways and ‘Detex’ panic hardware for the gates to the site plans.
• A panic hardware button is necessary because there is an exit from the theater building that goes into the work yard for convenience purposes.
• The containers will have no foundations so no such structure will cut into the root system of the tree. The containers are not fixed to the ground but are rather fixed on ‘chimes.’
• Because the containers are not permanent fixtures they would not negatively impede the existing trees under any circumstance.
• Noted since the building is illuminated all the way around so adding ‘lighting’ is not part of the project.
• The project does not involve creating a work yard, but rather fencing in a work yard that already exists.
• Added the project conditions directly as notes on the building plans.
• Is requesting a deferral from the Building Official that until the new containers are placed on the site there would be no way to inspect it and determine exactly how it is constructed so that a plan can be provided for the installation of the side door.

Zoning Administrator Stump:
• Requested clarification the site plans show one existing container and one new container.

Robert Axt: Clarified there is one existing container that was not permitted and the one new container for a total of two containers.

Kate Magruder: Is very supportive of the project.

Judy Pruden:
• The City has a tree advisory group that serves to protect and assist/provide information regarding tree maintenance and preservation in the City.
• Requested the applicant be extra cautious about working in and around the root system of the trees.

Robert Axt: Understands the importance of taking precautionary measures for the protection of trees and their corresponding root system during construction.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED: 10:28 a.m.

Zoning Administrator Stump:
• The project will benefit the Ukiah Players Theater.
• While the containers are not the most aesthetically pleasing of structures, they serve a valuable purpose for the theater and they are not readily visible to the public.

PUBLIC HEARING RE-OPENED: 10:29 a.m.

Kate Magruder:
• The intent is to get the existing work area tidied up and better functioning.
PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED: 10:30 p.m.

Zoning Administrator Stump made the following changes:

Finding 5, revised last sentence to read, 'However the cargo containers and storage yard will be screened from adjacent properties and from the street by the existing landscaping and buildings.'

Finding 8, revised language to read, 'The site is located in a developed area and contains the Ukiah Players Theater. No water courses, wildlife, wildlife habitat floodway or floodplain or other environmentally sensitive areas are located on the site; therefore, none would be disturbed as a result of this project. The containers would be setback at ample distance from Orrs Creeks and will not impact the riparian corridor or creek.'

Zoning Administrator Stump approved Minor Site Development Permit 12-03-SDP-ZA with Findings 1-11 and Conditions of Approval 1-19 with the revisions to project Findings 5 and 8.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS

SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS TO ALLOW INSTALLATION OF TWO CARGO CONTAINER AND CONSTRUCT A CANOPY IN THE REAR OF THE UKIAH PLAYERS THEATER LOCATED AT
1041 LOW GAP ROAD, APN 001-020-80
FILE NO. 12-03-SDP-ZA

The following findings are supported by and based on information contained in this staff report, the application materials and documentation, and the public record.

1. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan as described in the staff report.

2. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the development standards for the C-1 zoning district as described in Table 2 of the Staff Report.

3. The location, size and intensity of the proposed project will not create a hazardous or inconvenient vehicular or pedestrian traffic pattern in that the project will be located in the rear of the subject parcel and will not change the vehicular or pedestrian traffic pattern on the site. The footprint of the existing building will not change. Therefore, the project will not create a hazardous or inconvenient vehicular or pedestrian traffic pattern.

4. The accessibility of off-street parking areas and the relation of parking areas with respect to traffic on adjacent street will not create a hazardous or inconvenient condition to adjacent or surrounding in that the project will be located in the rear of the site and will not change the existing off-street parking areas nor will it create hazardous or inconvenient condition to adjacent or surrounding uses.

5. Sufficient landscaped areas have been reserved for purposes of separating or screening the proposed structure(s) from the street and adjoining building sites, and breaking up and screening large expanses of paved areas in that no new landscaping is proposed with this project. However the cargo containers and storage yard will be screened from adjacent properties and from the street by the existing landscaping and buildings.

6. The proposed project will be confined to the rear of the site and therefore will not restrict or cut out light on the property, or on property in the neighborhood; nor will it hinder the development or use of building in the neighborhood, or impair the value thereof.
7. The project site is not located adjacent to residential zoning districts therefore the improvement of any commercial or industrial structure will not have a substantial detrimental impact on the character or value of an adjacent residential zoning district.

8. The site is located in a developed area and contains The Ukiah Players Theater. No water courses, wildlife, wildlife habitat, floodway or flood plain or other environmentally sensitive areas are located on the site; therefore, none would be disturbed as a result of this project. The containers would be setback at ample distance from Orrs Creek and will not impact the riparian corridor or creek.

9. The work yard and storage containers will be located behind the exiting Theater and will be screened from view from the public right of way and adjacent properties.

10. The proposed project is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 (a) which allows alterations to existing structures, Section 15303 (e) Class 3 which allows construction of accessory structures 15323 which allows normal operations of existing facilities for public gatherings based on the following:

   a. The proposed project would allow the construction of an exterior work yard that would include two cargo containers to be used for storage of set construction equipment and materials.
   b. The proposed project will not increase the seating capacity of the theater.
   c. The project site has been used by The Ukiah Players Theater for many years a community theater. The proposed project will not change the current use of the site in fact it would enhance the operations of the site.
   d. The project is not located within an environmentally sensitive area in that the site is located in an urban area that includes a variety of commercial uses and there will be not enlarge the size of the existing footprint.
   e. The project site is located in an area where all public services and facilities are available.

11. Notice of the proposed project was provided in the following manner as required by the Zoning Ordinance:

   a. Posted in three places on the project site on May 3, 2012;
   b. Mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on May 3, 2012; and
   c. Published in the Ukiah Daily Journal on May 6, 2012.

**CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL – SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT**

**SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS TO ALLOW INSTALLATION OF TWO CARGO CONTAINER AND CONSTRUCT A CANOPY IN THE REAR OF THE UKAIH PLAYERS THEATER LOCATED AT 1041 LOW GAP ROAD, APN 001-020-80 FILE NO. 12-03-SDP-ZA**

1. Site Development Permit approval is granted to allow the exterior modifications as shown on the plans and as described in the project description submitted to the Planning and Community Development Department and date stamped March 14, 2012, as except as modified by the following conditions of approval.

2. On plans submitted for building permit, these conditions of approval shall be included as notes on the first sheet.
3. All proposed exterior lighting shall be shown on plans submitted for building permit and is subject to staff review and approval. Exterior lighting shall be compatible with building architecture. In order to reduce light pollution, lighting shall be downcast, non-glare, and not directed upwards. Every effort shall be made to prevent light from spilling over property lines. Lighting approved by the International Dark Sky Association (IDA) is recommended.

4. Construction hours are limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday. Construction is prohibited on Sundays and holidays recognized by the City of Ukiah.

5. Protection devices shall be installed to protect the tree and root zones of trees located near or within construction zones. Protective fencing and warning signage will be required around the root zone. All tree protection devices shall be visible, well-anchored.

6. No storage containers in dripline of oak trees.

From the Building Official – David Willoughby (707) 467-5718

7. A building permit is required for installation of the cargo containers and construction of the canopy. The building permit should include a detailed description of the use of the proposed cargo containers. Depending on the use modifications may be required to the containers.

8. It appears that the container will be modified to include a man door on the end of the 40 yard container and double swinging doors on the side adjacent to the proposed covered roof. If so plans for the modifications shall be submitted with the building permit plans.

From Fire Marshall – Chuck Yates (707) 463-6264

9. An exit pathway shall be required from the exit through the public way, outside of the newly created work area. This pathway shall be shown on the building permit plans.

10. Emergency egress (panic) hardware shall be installed on all fence gates which lead to the public area.

11. The exit way shall be fully illuminated to the public way.

Standard City Conditions of Approval

12. This approval is not effective until the 10 day appeal period applicable to this Site Development Permit has expired without the filing of a timely appeal. If a timely appeal is filed, the project is subject to the outcome of the appeal and shall be revised as necessary to comply with any modifications, conditions, or requirements that were imposed as part of the appeal.

13. The property owner shall obtain and maintain any permit or approval required by law, regulation, specification or ordinance of the City of Ukiah and other Local, State, or Federal agencies as applicable. All construction shall comply with all fire, building, electric, plumbing, occupancy, and structural laws, regulations, and ordinances in effect at the time the Building Permit is approved and issued.

14. All conditions of approval that do not contain specific completion periods shall be completed prior to building permit final.
15. Building, Grading or other required Permits shall be issued within two years after the effective date of the Site Development Permit, or the discretionary actions granted by the permit shall expire. In the event the required Permits cannot be issued within the stipulated period from the project approval date, a one year extension may be granted by the Director of Planning if no new circumstances affect the project which otherwise would render the original approval inappropriate or illegal. It is the applicant’s responsibility in such cases to propose the one-year extension to the Planning Department prior to the two-year expiration date.

16. Except as otherwise specifically noted, the Site Development Permit shall be granted only for the specific purposes stated in the action approving the Site Development Permit and shall not be construed as eliminating or modifying any building, use, or zone requirements except to such specific purposes.

17. The approved Site Development Permit may be revoked through the City’s revocation process if the approved project related to the Site Development Permit is not being conducted in compliance with the stipulations and conditions of approval; or if the project is not established within two years of the effective date of approval; or if the established land use for which the permit was granted has ceased or has been suspended for twenty-four (24) consecutive months.

18. No permit or entitlement shall be deemed effective unless and until all fees and charges applicable to this application and these conditions of approval have been paid in full.

19. This approval is contingent upon agreement of the applicant and property owner and their agents, successors and heirs to defend, indemnify, release and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, attorneys, employees, boards and commissions from any claim, action or proceeding brought against any of the foregoing individuals or entities, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void or annul the approval of this application. This indemnification shall include, but not be limited to, damages, costs, expenses, attorney fees or expert witness fees that may be asserted by any person or entity, including the applicant, arising out of or in connection with the City’s action on this application, whether or not there is concurrent passive or active negligence on the part of the City. If, for any reason any portion of this indemnification agreement is held to be void or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

6C. Minor Site Development Permit 12-04-SDP-ZA, APN 002-224-15. Request for approval of a Minor Site Development Permit to allow exterior changes to an existing commercial structure located at 108 W. Standley Street. The exterior modification would include: New windows, relocated entrance and new wood panels. The existing brick and cornice would be retained.

Associate Planner Faso gave a staff report:
- The proposed Site Development Permit is to allow changes to the front façade of an existing vacant commercial building. Such improvements are necessary to meet public health and safety requirements, specifically seismic upgrades that are necessary for the vacant building to be occupied.
- Page 1 of the staff report provides a project description of the proposed changes to the front façade.
- The project would also restore the historical architectural elements of the original building.
- The project site is listed on the City’s Architectural and Historical Inventory Report along with the Survey Update. The adjacent buildings, 106 and 110 W. Standley, are also included in the City’s Historical Inventory and Update.
The subject building has been significantly altered with the brick and cornice appearing to be the only remaining original historical details.

As of June 2011, the City’s now dissolved Redevelopment Agency awarded Building Improvement Funds (BIP) to the owner of the subject property for a fire suppression system, seismic upgrade, accessibility (ADA) improvements, grease interceptor, curb and gutter and sewer latter. At this time a building permit has been issued and the interior work related to BIP is underway.

With regard to the exterior modifications, the property owner started the application process to request Façade Improvement funds, but unfortunately during the first stage of his application process the program was terminated due to the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agencies by the State. The property owner was able to participate in the first stage of the process for design assistance whereby the RDA granted $2,500 for the property owner to work with a design professional to prepare a conceptual design for the façade improvements.

The intent is to restore the historic character of the building by using the design and architectural features of the adjacent buildings as a reference. The existing brick and cornice would be retained with this project.

The proposed project would restore many of the existing historic architectural features on the build that have been covered up as a result of previous renovations that include the storefront windows would vertically oriented and in line with the storefront windows on the adjacent buildings, the door would be located in the center of the façade, transom windows would be located above windows and door and would be in line with transom windows on the adjacent buildings, a wood panel base would be located beneath the storefront windows and columns at either end of the façade would be finished in stucco.

A color rendering included in the staff report compares the ‘before’ and ‘after’ effects of the proposed facade improvements.

The project is consistent with the General Plan goals and policies that apply to the project, the applicable zoning ordinance regulations, Downtown Design Guidelines as provided for in Tables 1-3 of the staff report.

Table 4 of the staff report is the Site Development Permit analysis prepared by Planning staff.

Staff is recommending approval of the project.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED: 10:38 p.m.

Richard Ruff, Project Architect commented on his design efforts to retain the historical flavor/character of the building:

- Worked according to the engineering requirements that are imposed using the moment frame to secure the building.
- The front façade is recessed 3.5 feet back so that the door does not swing out on the public right-of-way.
- Applied the historical design of the buildings on either side of the project building so as to architecturally promote/enhance/maintain the historical character of the building and complement other like buildings in the neighborhood.
- Explained in detail the improvements that are proposed.

Judy Pruden:

- The project is located in the oldest historical and most intact block in Ukiah in this regard.
- There was a fire in the Downtown in 1880 whereby 4 buildings were replaced in 1881.
- The building located at 110 W. Standley Street has been restored and the curvatures at the top of the building encompass the building’s original design of 1880.
- There was a devastating fire in 1923 such that all three of the facades located at 106, 108 and 110 W. Standley Street were badly damaged to the degree the current façade design now resemble that of 1923. Regardless, because these buildings are over the age of 80, they are considered historical.
- Was unable to locate any pictures of this particular block except the 1923 fire pictures. Not much of these buildings were left intact after the fire except for the upper façade.
- Likes that the new door will be relocated to the center of the building and is recessed 3.5 feet as well as the new wood treatments on either side of the building.
- The proposed new renovations provide for a nice presentation.
- Asked if there are plans for an awning on the building in the future?

Richard Ruff: An awning is not anticipated. The intent is to allow for as much day light as possible into the building.

Judy Pruden:
- It would be beneficial to attach the necessary ledger/fixture into the façade for placement of a future awning in the event ownership of the building changes so as not to destroy the façade.

Josh Blakeley: There is an existing ledger for an awning that will work.

Judy Pruden:
- The jewelry store building next door has what is called a ‘fifth avenue front’ that was built in the 1920s. The windows actually open and pivot for ventilation purposes. This would be a nice amenity on the project building, but at a cost. There is a functional component to these art glass windows having small apertures that open. The windows on the jewelry store building may not open anymore. This was how the building was ventilated.
- The design of the building improvements would allow for walk-up servicing of food, which would be a really nice component.

Josh Blakeley: The plan is for interior seating where patrons are able to open windows that open to the front sidewalk.

Judy Pruden:
- A photo rendering of the historical former Ukiah Press Building on N. School Street shows the effective use of terra cotta tile. The building owner put in what is called ‘Darth Vader Windows’ that were placed behind the wall that allowed for ‘window boxes’ in the front of the building. This design approach may be a possibility for the proposed project that with an attachment would allow for a planter box. This design amenity would be visually pleasing and likely draw business with its creative effect. There is very little vegetation in this area.
- Commended Architect Ruff for his sensitivity/understanding of the age of the building and his ability to propose façade improvements that enhance/ maintain the historical look of the building as much as is possible. The building definitely was in need of ‘curb appeal’ that has been sadly lacking.

Josh Blakeley: One of the treatments during the renovation process will be to strip the brick on the top of the building to its original brick.

Judy Pruden:
- Recommended the property owner consult with a certified masonry professional who is currently working on the Palace Hotel to see what may be the best way to strip the brick.
- It would be beneficial to the business neighborhood in the area and public if the Courthouse would re-open the north door to that building because the foot traffic on Standley Street essentially stopped when this door was permanently closed.

Richard Ruff:
- Further commented on the design intent, materials and building treatments.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED: 10:48 p.m.
Zoning Administrator Stump thanked the applicant and applicant’s representative for proposing a nice project and Judy Pruden for her valuable input regarding historical buildings.

Zoning Administrator Stump approved Minor Site Development Permit 12-04-SDP-ZA with Findings 1-11 and Conditions of Approval 1-15.

FINDINGS – SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS

SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FINDINGS TO ALLOW CHANGES TO THE FRONT FAÇADE OF AN EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING LOCATED AT
108 WEST STANDLEY STREET, APN 002-224-15
FILE NO. 12-04-SDP-ZA

The following findings are supported by and based on information contained in this staff report, the application materials and documentation, and the public record.

1. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan as described in the staff report.

2. The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the development standards for the C-1 zoning district as described in Table 2 of the Staff Report.

3. The location, size and intensity of the proposed project will not create a hazardous or inconvenient vehicular or pedestrian traffic pattern based on the following:

   A. The project site is currently developed with a commercial building located in the City’s Downtown. The proposed changes to the building exterior will not change the vehicular or pedestrian traffic patterns. Therefore the project will not create a hazardous or inconvenient vehicular or pedestrian traffic pattern.

4. The project site is located within the City’s downtown and within the parking district. The accessibility of the existing off-street parking and driveway will not change as a result of the proposed project therefore no hazardous or inconvenient conditions will be created on adjacent streets.

5. The project is located within the City’s established downtown and the structure takes up the entire parcel, therefore no landscaping is proposed as part of this project.

6. The proposed project would not enlarge the existing footprint of the building or change the existing height and therefore the proposed project will not restrict or cut out light and air on the property or on the property in the neighborhood. Furthermore the proposed project will restore the historic character of the building and make it similar to the historic properties adjacent to the project site. The project would not hinder the development or use of the buildings in the neighborhood in fact the proposed project may generate value in the neighborhood.

7. The project site is not located adjacent to residential zoning districts therefore the improvement of any commercial or industrial structure will not have a substantial detrimental impact on the character or value of an adjacent residential zoning district.
8. The site is located in a commercial area developed with an existing commercial building. No water courses, wildlife, wildlife habitat, floodway or flood plain or other environmentally sensitive areas will be disturbed as a result of this project.

9. The proposed project would return many of the original historic elements to the building and would be similar in architectural style to the adjacent properties. Furthermore the project is consistent with many of the design recommendations of the Downtown Design Guidelines. The project would add visual interest to an existing box-like building, therefore creating variety and creativity within an existing commercial downtown area.

10. The proposed project is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 Class (d) which allows restoration or rehabilitation of deteriorated or damaged structures, facilities or mechanical equipment to meet current standards of public health and safety and Section 15331 Class 31 which allows projects limited to maintenance, repair, stabilization, rehabilitation, restoration, preservation, conservation or reconstruction of historical resources based on the following:

   a. The proposed project would allow the installation of required seismic upgrades that are necessary for public safety.
   b. The proposed project would restore the historic structure to an architectural style that is more consistent with the original historic features of the building based on information found in the City’s Historical Inventory (1985) and Survey Update (1999) along with information submitted by the applicant.
   c. The proposed project would be consistent in style/features to the adjacent historic properties and therefore would enhance the overall historic character of the neighborhood.
   d. As described below, the proposed project would restore many of the historic architectural features on the building that have been covered up as a result of previous renovations:
      • The storefront windows would be vertically oriented and in line with the storefront windows on the adjacent buildings;
      • The door would be located in the center of the façade;
      • Transom windows would be located above windows and door and would be in line with transom windows on the adjacent buildings;
      • A wood panel base would be located beneath the storefront windows; and
      • Columns at either end of the façade would be finished in stucco.
   e. The project is not located within an environmentally sensitive area in that the site is located in an urban area that includes a variety of commercial uses and the existing footprint would not be enlarged.
   f. The project site is located in an area where all public services and facilities are available.

11. Notice of the proposed project was provided in the following manner as required by the Zoning Ordinance:
   d. Posted in three places on the project site on May 3, 2012;
   e. Mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the project site on May 3, 2012; and
   f. Published in the Ukiah Daily Journal on May 6, 2012.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL – SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

SITE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL TO ALLOW CHANGES TO THE FRONT FAÇADE OF AN EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING LOCATED AT
108 WEST STANDLEY STREET, APN 002-224-15
FILE NO. 12-04-SDP- ZA

1. Site Development Permit approval is granted to allow the exterior modifications as shown on the plans and as described in the project description submitted to the Planning and Community Development Department and date stamped March 20, 2012, as except as modified by the following conditions of approval.

2. On plans submitted for building permit, these conditions of approval shall be included as notes on the first sheet.

3. All proposed exterior lighting shall be shown on plans submitted for building permit and is subject to staff review and approval. Exterior lighting shall be compatible with building architecture. In order to reduce light pollution, lighting shall be downcast, non-glare, and not directed upwards. Every effort shall be made to prevent light from spilling over property lines. Lighting approved by the International Dark Sky Association (IDA) is recommended.

4. Construction hours are limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday. Construction is prohibited on Sundays and holidays recognized by the City of Ukiah.

From the Building Official – David Willoughby (707) 467-5718

5. A building permit is required.

From Fire Marshall – Chuck Yates (707) 463-6264

6. Sprinklers are required for this structure.

7. Street numbers, visible from the street shall be displayed. Approved street numbers shall be placed in a visible and legible location at the front of the building, preferable near the main entrance. Numbers shall be of sufficient dimension (min 4") to be clearly legible from the roadway. Numbers shall contrast with their background and be permanently affixed to the building. (CFC 505.1) The exact location of numbers will be determined with contractor or owner during construction.

Standard City Conditions of Approval

8. This approval is not effective until the 10 day appeal period applicable to this Site Development Permit has expired without the filing of a timely appeal. If a timely appeal is filed, the project is subject to the outcome of the appeal and shall be revised as necessary to comply with any modifications, conditions, or requirements that were imposed as part of the appeal.

9. The property owner shall obtain and maintain any permit or approval required by law, regulation, specification or ordinance of the City of Ukiah and other Local, State, or Federal agencies as applicable. All construction shall comply with all fire, building, electric, plumbing, occupancy, and structural laws, regulations, and ordinances in effect at the time the Building Permit is approved and issued.

10. All conditions of approval that do not contain specific completion periods shall be completed prior to building permit final.
11. Building, Grading or other required Permits shall be issued within two years after the effective date of the Site Development Permit, or the discretionary actions granted by the permit shall expire. In the event the required Permits cannot be issued within the stipulated period from the project approval date, a one year extension may be granted by the Director of Planning if no new circumstances affect the project which otherwise would render the original approval inappropriate or illegal. It is the applicant’s responsibility in such cases to propose the one-year extension to the Planning Department prior to the two-year expiration date.

12. Except as otherwise specifically noted, the Site Development Permit shall be granted only for the specific purposes stated in the action approving the Site Development Permit and shall not be construed as eliminating or modifying any building, use, or zone requirements except to such specific purposes.

13. The approved Site Development Permit may be revoked through the City’s revocation process if the approved project related to the Site Development Permit is not being conducted in compliance with the stipulations and conditions of approval; or if the project is not established within two years of the effective date of approval; or if the established land use for which the permit was granted has ceased or has been suspended for twenty four (24) consecutive months.

14. No permit or entitlement shall be deemed effective unless and until all fees and charges applicable to this application and these conditions of approval have been paid in full.

15. This approval is contingent upon agreement of the applicant and property owner and their agents, successors and heirs to defend, indemnify, release and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, attorneys, employees, boards and commissions from any claim, action or proceeding brought against any of the foregoing individuals or entities, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void or annul the approval of this application. This indemnification shall include, but not be limited to, damages, costs, expenses, attorney fees or expert witness fees that may be asserted by any person or entity, including the applicant, arising out of or in connection with the City’s action on this application, whether or not there is concurrent passive or active negligence on the part of the City. If, for any reason any portion of this indemnification agreement is held to be void or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

7. **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:50 a.m.

Charley Stump, Zoning Administrator

______________________________

Cathy Elawady, Recording Secretary