MINUTES

Regular Meeting  
February 19, 2015  

Ukiah Civic Center, 300 Seminary Avenue  

1. CALL TO ORDER: Vice Chair Liden called the Design Review Board meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. in Conference Room #3.  

2. ROLL CALL  
Present: Vice Chair Tom Liden, Alan Nicholson, Colin Morrow  
Absent: Nick Thayer, Howie Hawkes  
Staff Present: Planning Director, Charley Stump  
Michelle Johnson, Assistant Planner  
Cathy Elawady, Recording Secretary  
Others present: Jennifer McGown, Applicant  

3. CORRESPONDENCE:  

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: The minutes from the January 18, 2015 meeting are included for review and approval.  

Motion carried by an all AYE voice vote of the members present (3-0).  

5. AUDIENCE COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS  

The DRB is required by the City Code to review and make a recommendation on all Site Development Permit applications.  

6. NEW BUSINESS:  

6A. 1320 South State Street McG’s Family Fun Center Site Development Permit (File No.: 666): Review and Recommendation on a Site Development Permit for McG’s Family Fun Center.  

Assistant Planner Johnson:  
• Approval of a Major Site Development Permit is required for this project due to the amount of square footage of the building.  
• The majority of the improvements/changes to the building will be interior related. There are no proposed changes to the façade of the building.  
• There is sufficient parking on the site for the use.  
• Staff is requesting the DRB make comments with a recommendation to Planning Commission regarding the landscaping.  
• Provided the DRB with a copy of comments from Member Thayer included in the minutes as attachment 1.  

Planning Director Stump:  
• Acknowledged the existing parking lot is large. There are many more parking spaces than are essentially necessary for the use.
• Encourages the DRB to be sensitive to the fact the proposed project is an adaptive re-
use of an existing building. Is of the opinion it would not be appropriate to require the
applicant meet City landscaping standards for the parking lot.
• The applicants are proposing some landscaping for the site.
• Inquired about the protocol related to drop-off of children.

Member Morrow:
• Requested clarification concerning the project description and plans to restripe the
existing parking lot for 11 standard parking spaces on the State Street frontage.
• Are there plans to use that paved area along Wabash Avenue?

Assistant Planner Johnson:
• The applicants intent to plant well-established trees, shrubs, flowers in the green
landscaping planter area along the State Street frontage that will provide shade and
aesthetically improve the appearance of the site. The project description submitted by the
applicants (attachment 1 of the staff report) specially provides information about the
landscaping plans for the site.

Vice Chair Liden:
• Inquired about the chain link fence/barrier along the Wabash Avenue side of the site and
the plans thereof.

Member Nicholson:
• Asked about the intent of the 13 parking spaces and whether or not they meet
occupancy/use requirements.
• Requested clarification any new lighting will be International Dark Sky Association
compliant. Will the light mounted on the pole next to Wabash Avenue be replaced?
• Would like to discuss Member Thayer’s comments regarding the landscaping.

Jennifer McGowan, Applicant:
• Is leasing the property.
• Related to the position of the building all of the parking is in the front of the building for
easy access to the building. The site was a former RV sales establishment. It appears the
side parking lot is where the RVs were parked located on the Wabash Avenue side of the
lot. There is an entrance from Wabash Avenue to the site that operates as a drive-thru to
the building. The existing large parking lot that fronts State Street would be for
customer/patron use.
• The purpose for the location is the dwelling/warehouse that offers a great place for an
indoor community recreation facility and will feature a laser tag game as the primary
focus, arcade machines and a small indoor concession stand that sells food and non-
alcoholic beverages. The food served will be prepackaged items that do not require the
use of or discharge of oil.
• Bicycle racks will be installed on the Wabash Avenue side of the site to accommodate
children riding bikes to the facility as opposed to encouraging more vehicles. Children will
be dropped off to the recreational facility by parents.
• Recognizes the need for more recreational facilities for children in the community and this
is the reason for the proposed project where children can participate in fun/recreational
activities in a safe environment.
• Confirmed parents will typically drop-off their children and leave. The children
participating in the activities are in the age range where they do not need to be
accompanied by their parents.
• Hours of operations are centered around weekends and after school.
• The interior of the building will be repainted. There will be touch-up painting to exterior
portions of the building where the existing color scheme will remain the same.
• Referenced the chain link fence and acknowledged it essentially consists of a chain that extends across a designated area. There are two such chained-off areas on the Wabash Avenue side of the site, one of which will likely remain closed-off and would depend upon traffic flow.

• Related to the use and required parking, a determination as to what type of business most categorically fits with the allowed/permitted uses in the C-2. The proposed project is similar to the parks, playgrounds, community gardens and other recreational uses approved in the C-2 zoning designation.

• With the building being 3,500 sq. ft., comparatively the use related to retail/commercial requires one vehicle per 300 sq. ft. Also, in her research found for an electronic video game/arcade use a certain amount of parking is required.

• Confirmed lighting will be International Dark Sky Association compliant. The existing lighting would be replaced with LED lights. The issue of lighting on site is addressed in her project description.

• The light mounted on the pole on Wabash Avenue will be replaced. Finds the site well lit likely for security purposes because it formerly functioned as a commercial business that sold RVs.

Assistant Planner Johnson:
• Confirmed page 3 of the staff report addresses the required parking. While the zoning ordinance includes parking requirements for a variety of commercial recreational uses, none of them are appropriate for the Project. The zoning ordinance provides for parking requirements for places of commercial recreation uses that are appropriate for the project and these include: 1) Game Room, Arcades – one parking space for each four game machines. 2) Recreation Buildings and Community Centers – parking spaces required equal in number to 35% of the capacity of persons.

• Since the zoning ordinance does not include a parking requirement for the proposed use, the number of parking spaces required will be determined by the Planning Commission through the use permit process.

Member Nicholson:
• Project is an appropriate use for the site.
• According to Member Thayer’s comments parking lots are typically divided up with planters with one tree for every four parking spaces. Understands there is plenty of landscaping on the South State Street frontage to accommodate ‘the quantity of trees.’ Asked if the Planning Department had comments/thoughts regarding shade requirements for this particular project.

Planning Director Stump:
• Acknowledged with the existing western trees the parking lot will be shaded for quite a while each day. The building will be shaded.
• Does not see a need to add shade trees.
• Adding tree wells every four spaces would be ‘redundant and costly’ for this project. Is of the opinion this is not necessary.

Vice Chair Liden:
• May want to consider Member Thayer’s comments (attachment 1 of the minutes). Mr. Thayer is a landscape designer and the applicants may want to consult with him concerning landscaping for the site. Understands Mr. Thayer helped prepare the City’s approved tree lists relative to parking lots, street trees, etc.
• Some of Member Thayer’s comments include:
  o Tree species selection is not acceptable. Arbutus Unedo is a small-scale evergreen and is not a shade tree and recommends the applicants refer to the City approved Parking Lot Tree List.
Further recommends for the site such tree species as the native Valley Oak or Plane Tree.

Would like to see more street trees along the northern boundary of the property as there are currently no trees along this edge of the property.

The previous owners of the property cut down several large Redwood trees prior to selling the property.

Grevilla is a large genera of Australian native not all of which are cold hardy in Ukiah’s climate.

Irrigation system may be inadequate for plant material needs and made suggestions in this regard.

Jennifer McGowan:

• Reviewed the City approved Parking Lot tree list and determined there were only three tree species that can be used in conjunction with the existing overhead power lines in the front portion of the property. There may be some tree species that would be appropriate.

Member Liden:

• Member Thayer may not be aware of the potential problem associated with the existing overhead power lines and the tree species he recommends.
• Asked if there are existing irrigation systems available on the site.
• Likes the Project and is of the opinion it will greatly benefit children in the community.

Member Morrow:

• Finds Member Thayer’s comments regarding the landscaping to be helpful and informative.
• It would be nice to see some shading along the north side of the property, particularly during the summer months. Observed there is a lot of paving on the northern portion of the property. There may be some landscaping opportunities along the posted chain area on the northern side.

Jennifer McGowan:

• Noted there is one existing faucet on the site that can be used for irrigation purposes. However, there is no access to water on the northern portion of the site; it is all asphalt and sidewalk. Landscaping could possibly be installed in this area, but it would be costly.

Member Morrow:

• His landscaping suggestions could be looked at from a long term perspective.

M/S Nicholson/Morrow that the DRB recommends Planning Commission approval of the proposed Site Development Permit application for McG’s Family Fun Center with some revisions/modifications to the landscape plan taking into consideration Member Thayer’s comments. (Motion carried 3-0 with Members Thayer and Hawkes absent).

7. MATTERS FROM THE BOARD:

8. MATTERS FROM STAFF:

Planning Director Stump:

• The PEP senior housing project has formally submitted an application for a General Plan amendment, rezone to PD and Site Development Permit and asked if the DRB would like to review these plans in addition to the preliminary plans the Board recently reviewed.
• Would like the DRB to review the design aspects, color palate and materials relevant to the formal application.
• Recommends having a special DRB meeting in early March for the DRB to review the PEP senior housing project.
DRB is fine with formal review of the Project.

9. SET NEXT MEETING
   A special meeting will be Tuesday, March 3, 2015 at 3:00 p.m.

10. ADJOURNMENT
    The meeting adjourned at 3:31 p.m.

______________________________
Cathy Elawadly, Recording Secretary
Hello Michelle,

Thanks for the package for review. I will, unfortunately, not be able to attend today’s meeting, continued family medical needs. But I would like to make some recommendations to the Board and to the Planning Commission.

+ I am supportive of the proposed use for the property. Wish the applicant the best in opening their new business.

+ Tree species selection is NOT acceptable. Arbutus unedo is a small-scale, evergreen tree, it is NOT a shade tree. Applicant should refer to the City approved Parking Lot or Park list. I would recommend either our native Valley Oak (Quercus lobata) or Plane Tree (Platanus x acerifolia ‘Bloodgood’).

+ I would like to see more street trees added along Northern boundary of property. There are currently no trees along this edge of the property. It is worth pointing out that the previous owners of the property cut down several large Redwoods prior to selling the property.

+ Plan shows 13 parking stalls. Doesn’t this quantity dicate that tree strips be added to the parking layout to facilitate greater vehicle and paving shading?

+ Landscape plan is inadequate to meet the requirement of the “50% of the landscaped area shall be dedicated to live plantings”. The proposed plant count will not cover this amount of area (140’ long x 26’ deep = 3,640 sq ft) Plant coverage is estimated at 1,500 square feet (100 sq ft per “Grevillea” shrub, and 25 sq ft per Muhlenbergia grass).

+ Grevilla is a large genera of Australian natives, not all of which are cold hardy in our climate. Not certain which species the Applicant is proposing. I would recommend an alternate of our native Manzanita (Arctostaphylos x ‘Howard McMinn’). And more than the proposed 12 count on the plan. Applicantant should be mindful that their signage may in time be blocked

+ Irrigation system may be inadequate for plant materials needs. Applicant should check performance specifications for 1/2” POLYETHYLENE tubing. I would like to see installation details from the Applicant to ensure continued performance of the irrigation system.

Many thanks, Nick

Nicholas Thayer
mail@lateafternoon.com
707-462-5133 office
707-362-0680 mobile